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#### Abstract

We develop a randomized block-coordinate descent method for minimizing the sum of a smooth and a simple nonsmooth blockseparable convex function and prove that it obtains an $\epsilon$-accurate solution with probability at least $1-\rho$ in at most $O((4 n / \epsilon) \log (1 / \epsilon \rho))$ iterations, where $n$ is the dimension of the problem. This extends recent results of Nesterov [2], which cover the smooth case, to composite minimization, and improves the complexity by a factor of 2 . In the smooth case we give a much simplified analysis. Finally, we demonstrate numerically that the algorithm is able to solve various $\ell_{1}$-regularized optimization problems with a billion variables.


## I. Introduction

We consider the unconstrained convex optimization problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} F(x) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} f(x)+\Psi(x) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is smooth and $\Psi$ is block-separable. By $x^{*}$ we denote an arbitrary optimal solution of (1) and by $F^{*}$ the optimal value.

## A. Block structure

Let $\left(\mathcal{U}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{U}_{n}\right)$ be a block decomposition of (a column permutation of) the $N \times N$ identity matrix, with $\mathcal{U}_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N_{i}}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i}=N$. Any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ can then be represented as $x=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{U}_{i} x^{(i)}$, where $x^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{i}}$, and we will write $x=\left(x^{(1)} ; \ldots ; x^{(n)}\right)$. Let $\|\cdot\|_{(i)},\|\cdot\|_{(i)}^{*}$ be a pair of conjugate Euclidean norms in $\mathbb{R}^{N_{i}}$.

Smoothness of $f$ means that the gradient of $t \mapsto f\left(x+\mathcal{U}_{i} t\right)$ is Lipschitz at $t=0$, uniformly in $x$ for all $i$, with constants $L_{i}>0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{U}_{i}^{T}\left[f^{\prime}\left(x+\mathcal{U}_{i} t\right)-f^{\prime}(x)\right]\right\|_{(i)}^{*} \leq L_{i}\|t\|_{(i)}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, t \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{i}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Block separability of $\Psi$ means that $\Psi(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Psi_{i}\left(x^{(i)}\right)$.

## B. Examples of $\Psi$

- Unconstrained smooth minimization: $\Psi(x) \equiv 0$. Iteration complexity analysis in this case was done in [2]. Our results (not in this abstract) are slightly better and analysis much simpler.
- Block-constrained smooth minimization: $\Psi_{i}(x) \equiv$ indicator function of some convex set in $\mathbb{R}^{N_{i}}$.
- $\ell_{1}$-regularized minimization: $\Psi(x) \equiv \lambda\|x\|_{1}$. In machine learning, this helps to prevent model over-fitting [1] and in compressed sensing this is used to recover sparse signals [3].
II. The Algorithm and its Iteration Complexity

Let us define a norm on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ by $\|x\|_{L}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}\left\|x^{(i)}\right\|_{(i)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.
Theorem 1. Choose $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $\epsilon>0$ such that $\mu \equiv \epsilon / \| x^{*}-$ $x_{0} \|_{L}^{2} \leq 2$. Further, pick $\rho \in(0,1)$ and let

$$
k \geq \frac{4 n\left\|x^{*}-x_{0}\right\|_{L}^{2}}{\epsilon} \log \left(\frac{2\left(F\left(x_{0}\right)-F^{*}\right)}{\rho \epsilon}\right) .
$$

If $x_{k}$ is the random vector generated by Algorithm 1 when applied to the objective function $F_{\mu}(x)=F(x)+\frac{\mu}{2}\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|_{L}^{2}$, then $\operatorname{Prob}\left(F\left(x_{k}\right)-F^{*} \leq \epsilon\right) \geq 1-\rho$.

```
Algorithm 1 Uniform Coordinate Descent for Composite Functions
    for \(k=0,1,2, \ldots\) iterate
        Choose \(i_{k}=i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}\) with probability \(\frac{1}{n}\)
        \(T^{(i)}=\arg \min _{t \in \mathbb{R}^{N}}\left\langle\nabla f\left(x_{k}\right), \mathcal{U}_{i} t\right\rangle+\frac{L_{i}}{2}\|t\|_{(i)}^{2}+\Psi\left(x_{k}+\mathcal{U}_{i} t\right)\)
        \(x_{k+1}=x_{k}+\mathcal{U}_{i} T^{(i)}\)
```


## III. Numerical results

We will apply Algorithm 1 to random instance of (1) with

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\frac{1}{2}\|A x-b\|_{2}^{2}, \quad \Psi(x)=\|x\|_{1}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b \in \mathbb{R}^{m}, A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, N=n$.
In the first table below we present duration time (in seconds) of $n$ iterations of Algorithm 1 applied to problem (1), (3) with a sparse solution $x^{*}$ and random sparse matrix $A$. By $\|\cdot\|_{0}$ we denote number of nonzero elements.

| $\left\\|x^{*}\right\\|_{0}$ | $\\|A\\|_{0}=10^{8}$ |  | $\\|A\\|_{0}=10^{9}$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $10^{7} \times 10^{6}$ | $10^{8} \times 10^{7}$ | $10^{7} \times 10^{6}$ | $10^{8} \times 10^{7}$ |
| $16 \times 10^{2}$ | 5.89 | 11.04 | 46.28 | 70.48 |
| $16 \times 10^{3}$ | 5.83 | 11.59 | 46.07 | 59.03 |
| $16 \times 10^{4}$ | 4.28 | 8.64 | 46.93 | 77.44 |

Let us remark that $n=10^{7}$ iterations in case when $m=10^{8}$ and $A$ has a billion nonzeros are executed in about 1 minute. In order to get a solution with accuracy $\epsilon=10^{-5}$, one needs approximately $40 \times n$ iterations. In the next table we illustrate, on a random problem with $m=10^{7}, n=10^{6},\|A\|_{0}=10^{8}$ and $\left\|x^{*}\right\|_{0}=16 \times 10^{2}$, the typical behavior of the method in reducing the gap $F\left(x_{k}\right)-F^{*}$.

| $k / n$ | $F\left(x_{k}\right)-F^{*}$ | $\left\\|x_{k}\right\\|_{0}$ | time [sec.] |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.0010 | $<10^{16}$ | 857 | 0.01 |
| 15.2320 | $<10^{10}$ | 997944 | 65.19 |
| 20.6150 | $<10^{8}$ | 978761 | 88.25 |
| 25.9120 | $<10^{6}$ | 763314 | 110.94 |
| 30.6620 | $<10^{4}$ | 57991 | 131.25 |
| 35.0520 | $<10^{2}$ | 2538 | 150.02 |
| 38.2650 | $<10^{0}$ | 1633 | 163.75 |
| 40.9880 | $<10^{-1}$ | 1604 | 175.38 |
| 42.7140 | $<10^{-4}$ | 1600 | 182.77 |
| 44.8600 | $<10^{-6}$ | 1600 | 191.94 |
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