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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following minimization problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} f(x), \quad \text { where } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- $f: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $L$-smooth: $\|\nabla f(x)-\nabla f(y)\|_{2} \leq L\|x-y\|_{2}$,
- $f$ is bounded from below by $f\left(x^{*}\right)$ where $x^{*}$ is a minimizer.


## 2. Stochastic Three Points Method

Stochastic Three Points method [1] is a new method aimed to solve (1). The key properties of STP are its simplicity, generality and practicality

```
Algorithm 1 [1] (STP).
    Parameters: some distribution \(\mathcal{D}\) over \(\mathbb{R}^{d}\), stepsizes \(\left\{\gamma^{k}\right\}_{k \geq 0}\)
    Initialization: Choose \(x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\)
    for \(k=0,1,2 \ldots\) do
        Draw a fresh sample \(s^{k}\) from \(\mathcal{D}\)
        \(x^{k+1}=\arg \min \left\{f\left(x^{k}\right), f\left(x^{k}+\gamma^{k} s^{k}\right), f\left(x^{k}-\gamma^{k} s^{k}\right)\right\}\)
    end for
```


## 3. Key Assumption

Assumption 1. The probability distribution $\mathcal{D}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ satisfies the following properties:

1) The quantity $\gamma_{\mathcal{D}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \mathbf{E}_{s \sim \mathcal{D}}\|s\|_{2}^{2}$ is finite.
2) There is a constant $\mu_{\mathcal{D}}>0$ for a norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ such that for all $g \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$

$$
\mathbf{E}_{s \sim \mathcal{D}}|\langle g, s\rangle| \geq \mu_{\mathcal{D}}\|g\|_{\mathcal{D}}
$$

- If $\mathcal{D}$ is the uniform distribution on the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, then $\gamma_{\mathcal{D}}=1$ and $\mathbf{E}_{s \sim \mathcal{D}}|\langle g, s\rangle| \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi d}}\|g\|_{2}$.
- If $\left.\mathcal{D}=N\left(0, \frac{I}{d}\right)\right)$ then $\gamma_{\mathcal{D}}=1$ and $\mathbf{E}_{s \sim \mathcal{D}}|\langle g, s\rangle|=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{d \pi}}\|g\|_{2}$.
- If $\mathcal{D}$ is the uniform distribution on $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{d}\right\}$, then $\gamma_{\mathcal{D}}=1$ and $\mathbf{E}_{s \sim \mathcal{D}}|\langle g, s\rangle|=\frac{1}{d}\|g\|_{1}$.


## 4. First Ingredient: Momentum Term

Below we introduce Polyak's heavy ball momentum using special technique inspired by virtual iterates analysis from [4].

```
Algorithm 2 (SMTP).
    Parameters: some distribution \(\mathcal{D}\) over \(\mathbb{R}^{d}\), stepsizes \(\left\{\gamma^{k}\right\}_{k \geq 0}\),
    momentum parameter \(\beta \in[0,1)\)
    Initialization: Choose \(x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\)
    for \(k=0,1,2 \ldots\) do
        Draw a fresh sample \(s^{k}\) from \(\mathcal{D}\)
        Let \(v_{+}^{k}=\beta v^{k-1}+s^{k}\) and \(v_{-}^{k}=\beta v^{k-1}-s^{k}\)
        Let \(x_{+}^{k+1}=x^{k}-\gamma^{k} v_{+}^{k}\) and \(x_{-}^{k+1}=x^{k}-\gamma^{k} v_{-}^{k}\)
        Let \(z_{+}^{k+1}=x_{+}^{k+1}-\frac{\gamma^{k} \beta}{1-\beta} v_{+}^{k}\) and \(z_{-}^{k+1}=x_{-}^{k+1}-\frac{\gamma^{k} \beta}{1-\beta} v_{-}^{k}\)
        Set \(z^{k+1}=\arg \min \left\{f\left(z^{k}\right), f\left(z_{+}^{k+1}\right), f\left(z_{-}^{k+1}\right)\right\}\)
        Set \(\left(x^{k+1}, v^{k+1}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(x_{+}^{k+1}, v_{+}^{k+1}\right), & \text { if } z^{k+1}=z_{+}^{k+1} \\ \left(x_{-}^{k+1}, v_{-}^{k+1}\right), & \text { if } z^{k+1}=z_{-}^{k+1} \\ \left(x^{k}, v^{k}\right), & \text { if } z^{k+1}=z^{k}\end{cases}\)
    end for
```

Key Lemma. Assume that $f$ is $L$-smooth and $\mathcal{D}$ satisfies Assumption 1. Then for the iterates of SMTP the following inequalities hold:

$$
f\left(z^{k+1}\right) \leq f\left(z^{k}\right)-\frac{\gamma^{k}}{1-\beta}\left|\left\langle\nabla f\left(z^{k}\right), s^{k}\right\rangle\right|+\frac{L\left(\gamma^{k}\right)^{2}}{2(1-\beta)^{2}}\left\|s^{k}\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{E}_{s^{k} \sim \mathcal{D}}\left[f\left(z^{k+1}\right)\right] \leq f\left(z^{k}\right)-\frac{\gamma^{k} \mu_{\mathcal{D}}}{1-\beta}\left\|\nabla f\left(z^{k}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{D}}+\frac{L\left(\gamma^{k}\right)^{2} \gamma_{\mathcal{D}}}{2(1-\beta)^{2}}
$$

Using the lemma above one can get convergence guarantees for SMTP in the similar way as it was done in [1].

## 5. Second Ingredient: Importance Sampling

## Assumption 2 (Coordinate-wise $L$-smoothness). We assume that the objective $f$ has coordinate-wise Lipschitz gradient, with Lipschitz con-

 stants $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{d}>0$, i.e.$$
f\left(x+h e_{i}\right) \leq f(x)+\nabla_{i} f(x) h+\frac{L_{i}}{2} h^{2}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, h \in \mathbb{R}
$$

## Algorithm 3 (SMTP_IS).

Parameters: stepsize parameters $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}>0$, probabilities $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}>0$ summing to 1 , momentum parameter $\beta \in[0,1)$
Initialization: Choose $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$
Set $v^{-1}=0$ and $z^{0}=x^{0}$
for $k=0,1,2 \ldots$ do
Select $i_{k}=i$ with probability $p_{i}>0$
Choose stepsize $\gamma_{i}^{k}$ proportional to $\frac{1}{w_{i}}$
Let $v_{+}^{k}=\beta v^{k-1}+e_{i_{k}}$ and $v_{-}^{k}=\beta v^{k-1}-e_{i_{k}}$
Let $x_{+}^{+k+1}=x^{k}-\gamma_{i}^{k} v_{+}^{k}$ and $x_{-}^{k+1}=x^{k}-\gamma_{i}^{k} v_{-}^{k}$
Let $z_{+}^{k+1}=x_{+}^{k+1}-\frac{\gamma_{1}^{k} \beta}{1-\beta} v_{+}^{k}$ and $z_{-}^{k+1}=x_{-}^{k+1}-\frac{\gamma_{1}^{k} \beta}{1-\beta} v_{-}^{k}$
Set $z^{k+1}=\arg \min \left\{f\left(z^{k}\right), f\left(z_{+}^{k+1}\right), f\left(z_{-}^{k+1}\right)\right\}$
Set $\left(x^{k+1}, v^{k+1}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(x_{+}^{k+1}, v_{+}^{k+1}\right), & \text { if } z^{k+1}=z_{+}^{k+1} \\ \left(x_{-}^{k+1}, v_{-}^{k+1}\right), & \text { if } z^{k+1}=z_{-}^{k+1} \\ \left(x^{k}, v^{k}\right), & \text { if } z^{k+1}=z^{k}\end{cases}$
end for

## 6. Summary of The Convergence Results

| Assumptions on $f$ | SMTP | Importance | SMTP_IS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Complexity | Sampling | Complexity |
| None | $2 r_{0} L \gamma_{\mathcal{D}} / \mu_{\mathcal{D}}^{2} \epsilon^{2}$ | $p_{i}=L_{i} / \sum_{i=1}^{d} L_{i}$ | $2 r_{0} d \sum_{i=1}^{d} L_{i} / \epsilon^{2}$ |
| Convex, $R_{0}<\infty$ | $L \gamma_{\mathcal{D}} R_{0}^{2} \ln \left(2 r_{0} / \varepsilon / \mu_{D}^{2} \varepsilon\right.$ | $p_{i}=L_{i} / \sum_{i=1}^{d} L_{i}$ | $R_{0}^{2} \ln \left(2 r_{0} / \varepsilon\right) d \sum_{i=1}^{d} L_{i} / \epsilon$ |
| $\mu$-strongly convex | $L \ln \left(2 r_{0} / \varepsilon / \varepsilon / \mu \mu_{\mathcal{D}}^{2}\right.$ | $p_{i}=L_{i} / \sum_{i=1}^{d} L_{i}$ | $\ln \left(2 r_{0} / \varepsilon\right) \sum_{i=1}^{d} L_{i} / \mu$ |

Note that $r_{0}=f\left(x_{0}\right)-f\left(x_{*}\right)$ and that all assumptions listed are in addition to $L$-smoothness. Complexity means number of iterations in order to guarantee $\mathbf{E}\left\|\nabla f\left(\bar{z}^{K}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{D}} \leq \varepsilon$ for the non-convex case, $\mathbf{E}\left[f\left(z^{K}\right)-f\left(x^{*}\right)\right] \leq \varepsilon$ for convex and strongly convex cases. $R_{0}=\max \left\{\left\|x-x^{*}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}}^{*} \mid f(x) \leq f\left(x^{0}\right)\right\}<+\infty$. We notice that for SMTP_IS $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}}=\|\cdot\|_{1}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}}^{*}=\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ in non-convex and convex cases and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}}=\|\cdot\|_{2}$ in the strongly convex case.

## 7. Numerical Experiments

We conduct extensive experiments on challenging non-convex problems on the continuous control task from the MuJoCO suit [3]. In particular, we address the problem of model-free control of a dynamical system.


Figure: SMTP is far superior to STP on all 5 different MuJoCo tasks. The horizontal dashed lines are the thresholds used in Table 1 to demonstrate complexity of each method.
Table: For each MuJoCo task, we report the average number of episodes required to achieve a predefined reward threshold. Results for our method is averaged over five random seeds, the rest is copied from [2] (N/A means the method failed to reach the threshold. UNK means the results is unknown since they are not reported in the literature.)

|  | Threshold | STP | STP_IS | SMTP | SMTP_IS | ARS(V1-t) | ARS(V2-t) | NG-lin | TRPO-nn |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Swimmer-v1 | 325 | 320 | 110 | 80 | 100 | 100 | 427 | 1450 | N/A |
| Hopper-v1 | 3120 | 3970 | 2400 | 1264 | 1408 | 51840 | 1973 | 13920 | 10000 |
| HalfCheetah-v1 | 3430 | 13760 | 4420 | 1872 | 1624 | 8106 | 1707 | 11250 | 4250 |
| Ant-v1 | 3580 | 107220 | 43860 | 19890 | 14420 | 58133 | 20800 | 39240 | 73500 |
| Humanoid-v1 | 6000 | N/A | 530200 | 161230 | 207160 | N/A | 142600 | 130000 | UNK |
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