

Sinkhorn Algorithm as a Special Case of Stochastic Mirror Descent

Konstantin Mishchenko

KAUST



Problem 1: Matrix Scaling

Given a matrix $X^0 \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^{n \times n}$, find vectors $u, v \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ such that

$$W \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{diag}(u)X^0\text{diag}(v)$$

is doubly stochastic, i.e. $W1 = 1$ and $W^\top 1 = 1$.

Motivation

- Matrix preconditioning for improved linear algebra operations such as solving $X^0 w = b$.
- Ranking web page significance: take network connectivity matrix and find the stationary distribution of its doubly-stochastic form
- Estimation of transition probabilities in Markov chains; traffic and transportation planning; network optimization (see [2] for more details).

Sinkhorn Algorithm

Algorithm 1: Sinkhorn Algorithm.

for $k = 1, \dots$ **do**

$$\begin{aligned} X_{i,:}^{k+1} &= X_{i,:}^k / \|X_{i,:}^k\|_1 \text{ for all } i; \\ X_{:,j}^{k+2} &= X_{:,j}^{k+1} / \|X_{:,j}^{k+1}\|_1 \text{ for all } j; \end{aligned}$$

end

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \log X^{k+1} &= \log X^k + \text{diag}(u_1^k, \dots, u_n^k)11^\top, \\ \log X^{k+2} &= \log X^{k+1} + 11^\top \text{diag}(v_1^{k+1}, \dots, v_n^{k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

This is very helpful for showing the equivalence.

Can be trivially generalized to finding W such that $W1 = p$, $W^\top 1 = q$ for any $p, q \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$.

[1] Marco Cuturi.

Sinkhorn distances: Lightspeed computation of optimal transport.

In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 2013.

[2] Bahman Kalantari, Isabella Lari, Federica Ricca, and Bruno Simeone.

On the complexity of general matrix scaling and entropy minimization via the ras algorithm.

Mathematical Programming, 2008.

[3] Richard Sinkhorn.

Diagonal equivalence to matrices with prescribed row and column sums.

The American Mathematical Monthly, 1967.

Problem 2: Entropy Regularization

Introduce entropy penalty:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (C_{ij}X_{ij} + \gamma X_{ij} \log X_{ij}) \\ \text{s.t. } X1 = p, X^\top 1 = q. \end{aligned}$$

Linear Programming

Given a matrix $C \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^{n \times n}$ and vectors $p, q \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i$ solve

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}} \sum_{i,j=1}^n C_{ij}X_{ij} \\ \text{s.t. } X1 = p, X^\top 1 = q, X \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Motivation: discrete optimal transport.

Main result

Sinkhorn algorithm = Method of Stochastic Bregman projections = Stochastic Mirror Descent.

Bregman Projections

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n (C_{ij}X_{ij} + \gamma X_{ij} \log X_{ij}) = \mathcal{KL}(X||X^0) + \text{const},$$

where $X^0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \exp(-C/\gamma)$.

Let $\omega: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a strictly convex function. The associated **Bregman divergence** is

$$D_\omega(x, y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \omega(x) - \omega(y) - \langle \nabla \omega(y), x - y \rangle.$$

If $\omega(x) = \sum_{i=1}^d x_i(\log x_i - 1)$, then $D_\omega(x, y)$ is the Kullback-Leibler divergence,

$$\mathcal{KL}(x||y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^d (x_i \log \frac{x_i}{y_i} - x_i + y_i).$$

Thus, we are interested in projecting onto the intersection of some sets C_1, \dots, C_m

$$\min_{x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m C_i} D_\omega(x, x^0).$$

Algorithm 2: Stochastic projections.

for $k = 1, \dots$ **do**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sample } i \in \{1, \dots, m\}; \\ x^{k+1} = \text{argmin}_{x \in C_i} D_\omega(x, x^k); \end{aligned}$$

end

Problem 3: Nonsmooth Minimization

Given matrices $A_1, \dots, A_m \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^{n_i \times d}$ and vectors $b_1, \dots, b_m \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^{n_i}$ solve

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \mathcal{KL}(A_i x || b_i).$$

Problem Properties

For $f_i(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{KL}(A_i x || b_i)$ the gradients are given by

$$\nabla f_i(x) = A_i^\top \log \frac{A_i x}{b_i},$$

where log and division are taken coordinate-wise. Note f_i is **nonsmooth**.

Algorithm 3: Stochastic Mirror Descent.

for $k = 1, \dots$ **do**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sample } i \in \{1, \dots, m\}; \\ \nabla \omega(x^{k+1}) = \nabla \omega(x^k) - \gamma_k \nabla f_i(x^k); \end{aligned}$$

end

Intuition

Since $\omega(x) = \sum_{i=1}^d x_i(\log x_i - 1)$, $\nabla \omega(x) = \log(x)$. Then, **the iterates live in a certain range space**,

$$\log(x^{k+1}) \in \log(x^0) + \text{Range}(A^\top),$$

where $A = (A_1^\top, \dots, A_m^\top)^\top$.

To show equivalence with Problems 1-2, we set $x = \text{vec}(X)$, $d = n^2$, $A_1, A_2 \in \{0, 1\}^d$, $A_1 x = X1$, $A_2 x = X^\top 1$, $b_1 = p$, $b_2 = q$.

New insight: there is no guarantee for convergence of stochastic mirror descent on that problem, because $\mathcal{KL}(\cdot || b)$ is a nonsmooth function. Moreover, Problem 3 is not constrained, so there is **no strong convexity**. This is a **gap in theory of stochastic mirror descent**.