

and skills







Stochastic Dual Ascent Linear Systems, Quasi-Newton Updates and Matrix Inversion

LONDON

Peter Richtárik

(joint work with Robert M. Gower)

University of Edinburgh



Oberwolfach, March 8, 2016

Part I Stochastic Dual Ascent for Linear Systems



Robert Mansel Gower (Edinburgh)



Robert Mansel Gower and P.R. **Randomized Iterative Methods for Linear Systems** *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications* 36(4):

1660-1690, 2015

[GR'15b]

[GR'15a]



Robert Mansel Gower and P.R. **Stochastic Dual Ascent for Solving Linear Systems** *arXiv:1512.06890*, 2015

The Problem



Assumption 1

The system is consistent (i.e., has a solution)

Optimization Formulation

Primal Problem



Dual Correspondence Lemma



New Algorithm: Stochastic Dual Ascent (SDA)

Stochastic Dual Ascent



 $\lambda^{t} = \left(S^{\top}AB^{-1}A^{\top}\overline{S}\right)^{\dagger}S^{\top}\left(b - A\left(c + B^{-1}A^{\top}y^{t}\right)\right)$

Primal Method = Linear Image of the Dual Method

$$x^{t} := x(y^{t}) = c + B^{-1}A^{\top}y^{t}$$

Main Assumption



$\rho := 1 - \lambda_{\min}^+ \left(B^{-1/2} A^\top \mathbf{E}[H] A B^{-1/2} \right)$ Complexity of SDA $U_0 = \frac{1}{2} \|x^0 - x^*\|_B^2$ Theorem (GR'15b) $\mathbf{E}\left[\frac{1}{2}\|x^t - x^*\|_B^2\right] \leq \rho^t U_0$ **Primal iterates: GR'15a** $\mathbf{E}[\|Ax^{t} - b\|_{B}] \leq \rho^{t/2} \|A\|_{B} \sqrt{2 \times U_{0}}$ **Residual:** $\mathbf{E}[OPT - D(y^t)] \leq \boldsymbol{\rho}^t U_0$ **Dual error:** $\mathbf{E}[P(x^t) - OPT] \le \rho^t U_0 + 2\rho^{t/2} \sqrt{OPT \times U_0}$ **Primal error: Duality gap:** $\mathbf{E}[P(x^t) - D(y^t)] \leq 2\rho^t U_0 + 2\rho^{t/2} \sqrt{OPT} \times U_0$

The Rate: Lower and Upper Bounds



Insight:The lower bound is good when:i) the dimension of the search space in the "constrain and
approximate" viewpoint is large,
ii) the rank of A is small

The Primal Iterates: 6 Equivalent Viewpoints

 $x^t := x(y^t) = c + B^{-1}A^{\top}y^t$

Corresponding primal iterates Dual iterates produced by SDA

1. Relaxation Viewpoint "Sketch and Project"

$$x^{t+1} = \arg \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|x - x^t\|_B^2$$

subject to $S^{\top}Ax = S^{\top}b$

S = identity matrix



convergence in 1 step

2. Approximation Viewpoint "Constrain and Approximate"

$$x^{t+1} = \arg \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|x - x^*\|_B^2$$

subject to $x = x^t + B^{-1}A^\top S\lambda$
 λ is free



 $\{x^{t+1}\} = (x^* + \operatorname{Null}(S^{\top}A)) \bigcap (x^t + \operatorname{Range}(B^{-1}A^{\top}S))$

4. Algebraic Viewpoint "Random Linear Solve"



5. Algebraic Viewpoint "Random Update"



Moore-Penrose pseudo-inverse

6. Analytic Viewpoint "Random Fixed Point"



Special Case: Randomized Kaczmarz Method

Randomized Kaczmarz (RK) Method



M. S. Kaczmarz. **Angenaherte Auflosung von Systemen linearer Gleichungen,** *Bulletin International de l'Académie Polonaise des Sciences et des Lettres. Classe des Sciences Mathématiques et Naturelles. Série A, Sciences Mathématiques* 35, pp. 355–357, 1937

Kaczmarz method (1937)



T. Strohmer and R. Vershynin. **A Randomized Kaczmarz Algorithm with Exponential Convergence**. *Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications* 15(2), pp. 262–278, 2009

Randomized Kaczmarz method (2009)

RK arises as a special case for parameters B, S set as follows:

B = I $S = e^i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ with probability p_i

$$x^{t+1} = x^t - \frac{A_{i:}x^t - b_i}{\|A_{i:}\|_2^2} (A_{i:})^T$$

RK was analyzed for $p_i = \frac{\|A_i\|^2}{\|A\|_F^2}$

RK: Derivation and Rate

General Method

$$x^{t+1} = x^t - B^{-1}A^T S (S^T A B^{-1} A^T S)^{\dagger} S^T (A x^t - b)$$

Special Choice of Parameters

$$B = I$$

$$x^{t+1} = x^{t} - \frac{A_{i:}x^{t} - b_{i}}{\|A_{i:}\|_{2}^{2}} (A_{i:})^{T}$$

$$S = e^{i}$$

Complexity Rate

$$p_i = \frac{\|A_{i:}\|^2}{\|A\|_F^2} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{E} \left[\|x^t - x^t - x^t - x^t - x^t - x^t \right] = \frac{\|A_{i:}\|^2}{\|A\|_F^2} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{E} \left[\|x^t - x^t - x^t - x^t - x^t - x^t - x^t \right]$$

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\|x^{t} - x^{*}\|_{2}^{2}\right] \leq \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_{\min}\left(A^{T}A\right)}{\|A\|_{F}^{2}}\right)^{t} \|x^{0} - x^{*}\|_{2}^{2}$$

RK: Further Reading



D. Needell. Randomized Kaczmarz solver for noisy linear systems. *BIT* 50 (2), pp. 395-403, 2010



D. Needell and J. Tropp. **Paved with good intentions: analyzis of a randomized block Kaczmarz method.** *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 441, pp. 199-221, 2012



D. Needell, N. Srebro and R. Ward. **Stochastic gradient descent, weighted sampling and the randomized Kaczmarz algorithm.** *Mathematical Programming*, 2015 (arXiv:1310.5715)



A. Ramdas. Rows vs Columns for Linear Systems of Equations – Randomized Kaczmarz or Coordinate Descent? *arXiv:1406.5295*, 2014 Special Case: Gaussian Descent

Gaussian Descent

General Method

$$x^{t+1} = x^t - B^{-1}A^T S (S^T A B^{-1} A^T S)^{\dagger} S^T (A x^t - b)$$

Special Choice of Parameters

$$S \sim N(0, \Sigma)$$

$$x^{t+1} = x^t - \frac{S^T (Ax^t - b)}{S^T A B^{-1} A^T S} B^{-1} A^T S$$

Positive definite covariance matrix

Complexity Rate

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\|x^{t} - x^{*}\|_{B}^{2}\right] \le \rho^{t} \|x^{0} - x^{*}\|_{B}^{2}$$



Gaussian Descent: The Rate



Gaussian Descent: Further Reading



Yurii Nesterov. Random gradient-free minimization of convex functions. CORE Discussion Paper # 2011/1, 2011



S. U. Stitch, C. L. Muller and G. Gartner. **Optimization of convex functions with random pursuit.** SIAM Journal on Optimization 23 (2), pp. 1284-1309, 2014



S. U. Stitch. **Convex optimization with random pursuit.** PhD Thesis, ETH Zurich, 2014

Special Case: Randomized Coordinate Descent

Randomized Coordinate Descent (RCD)

A. S. Lewis and D. Leventhal. Randomized methods for linear constraints: convergence rates and conditioning. *Mathematics of OR* 35(3), 641-654, 2010 (arXiv:0806.3015)

 $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left[f(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^T A x - b^T x \right]$ $x^* = A^{-1} b$ Assume: Positive definite

RCD (2008)

RCD arises as a special case for parameters *B*, *S* set as follows:

B = A $S = e^i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ with probability p_i

Recall: In RK we had B = I $x^{t+1} = x^t - \frac{(A_{i:})^T x^t - b_i}{A_{ii}} e^i$ RCD was analyzed for $p_i = \frac{A_{ii}}{\text{Tr}(A)}$

RCD: Derivation and Rate

General Method

$$x^{t+1} = x^t - B^{-1}A^T S (S^T A B^{-1} A^T S)^{\dagger} S^T (A x^t - b)$$

Special Choice of Parameters B = A $P(S = e^i) = p_i$ $S = e^i$ $x^{t+1} =$

$$x^{t+1} = x^t - \frac{(A_{i:})^T x^t - b_i}{A_{ii}} e^i$$

Complexity Rate

$$p_i = \frac{A_{ii}}{\mathbf{Tr}(A)}$$

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\|x^{t} - x^{*}\|_{A}^{2}\right] \le \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_{\min}(A)}{\mathbf{Tr}(A)}\right)^{t} \|x^{0} - x^{*}\|_{A}^{2}$$

RCD: "Standard" Optimization Form



Yurii Nesterov. Efficiency of coordinate descent methods on huge-scale optimization problems. SIAM J. on Optimization, 22(2):341–362, 2012 (CORE Discussion Paper 2010/2)

Nesterov considered the problem:

$$\min_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}f(x) \xleftarrow{\text{Convex and}}_{\text{smooth}}$$

 $f(x + he^i) \le f(x) + \nabla_i f(x)h + \frac{L_i}{2}h^2$

Nesterov assumed that the following inequality holds for all *x*, *h* and *i*:

Given a current iterate *x*, choosing *h* by minimizing the RHS gives:

Nesterov's RCD method:

$$x^{t+1} = x^t - \frac{1}{L_i} \nabla_i f(x^t) e^i$$

 $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T A x - b^T x \implies$ $L_i = A_{ii} \quad \nabla_i f(x) = (A_{i:})^T x - b_i$

We recover RCD as we have seen it: $x^{t+1} = x^t - \frac{(A_{i:})^T x^t - b_i}{A_{ii}} e^i$

Special Case: Randomized Newton Method

Randomized Newton (RN)



Z. Qu, PR, M. Takáč and O. Fercoq. **Stochastic Dual Newton Ascent for Empirical Risk Minimization.** *arXiv:1502.02268*, 2015

SDNA

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \begin{bmatrix} f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T A x - b^T x \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x^* = A^{-1}b$$
Assume: Positive definite

RN arises as a special case for parameters *B*, *S* set as follows:

$$B = A \qquad S = I_{:C} \text{ with probability } p_C$$
$$p_C \ge 0 \quad \forall C \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \quad \sum_{C \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} p_C = 1$$

RCD is special case with $p_C = 0$ whenever $|C| \neq 1$

RN: Derivation

General Method

$$x^{t+1} = x^t - B^{-1}A^T S (S^T A B^{-1} A^T S)^{\dagger} S^T (A x^t - b)$$

Special Choice of Parameters B = A $S = I_{:C}$ with probability p_C

$$x^{t+1} = x^t - I_{:C} \left[((I_{:C})^T A I_{:C})^{-1} \right] (I_{:C})^T (A x^t - b)$$

This method minimizes *f* exactly in a random subspace spanned by the coordinates belonging to *C*



Summary: Linear Systems

- SDA:
 - A new class of randomized optimization algorithms
 - Extremely versatile
 - Works for almost any random S
 - Get several existing algorithms in special cases (RK, RCD, RN, RBK)
 - Get many new algorithms in special cases
 - Linear convergence despite lack of strong concavity
 - RK in the primal = RCD in the dual
- Did not talk about:
 - Randomized gossip
 - Distributed variant
 - Optimal sampling via SDP
 - Experiments

HOW DOES A BACKWARDS POET WRITE?

INTERSE

Copyright 1997 Jerrey, Jerrords

autokmeme.co

Part II Stochastic Dual Ascent for Matrix Inversion



Robert Mansel Gower (Edinburgh)



Robert Mansel Gower and P.R. **Randomized Quasi-Newton Methods are Linearly Convergent Matrix Inversion Algorithms** *arXiv:1602.01768*, 2016

The Problem: Invert a Matrix



Assumption 1 Matrix *A* is invertible

Inverting Symmetric Matrices

1. Sketch and Project $\|X\|_{F(B)} \coloneqq \sqrt{\operatorname{Tr}(X^{\top}BXB)}$ $X^{t+1} = \arg\min_{X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}} \|X - X^t\|_{F(B)}^2$ subject to $S^{\top}AX = S^{\top}, \quad X = X^{\top}$

- Quasi-Newton updates are of this form: *S* = deterministic column vector
- We get randomized block version of quasi-Newton updates!
- Randomized quasi-Newton updates are linearly convergent matrix inversion methods
- Interpretation: Gaussian Inference (Henning, 2015)



Donald Goldfarb. A Family of Variable-Metric Methods Derived by Variational Means. *Mathematics of Computation* 24(109), 1970

Gaussian Inference



Philipp Henning **Probabilistic Interpretation of Linear Solvers** *SIAM Journal on Optimization* 25(1):234-260, 2015

The new iterate X_{k+1} can be interpreted as

- the mean of a posterior distribution
- under a Gaussian prior with mean $\, X_k \,$ and
- noiseless (and random) linear observation of A^{-1}

Randomized QN Updates

B	Equation	Method
Ι	AX = I	Powel-Symmetric-Broyden (PSB)
A^{-1}	$XA^{-1} = I$	Davidon-Fletcher-Powell (DFP)
A	AX = I	Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno (BFGS)

- All these QN methods arise as **special cases of our framework**
- All are **linearly convergent**, with explicit convergence rates
- We also recover non-symmetric updates such as Bad Broyden and Good Broyden
- We get **block versions**
- We get randomized versions of **new QN updates**

2. Constrain and Approximate

$$X^{t+1} = \arg \min_{X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}} \|X - A^{-1}\|_{F(B)}^{2}$$

s.t.
$$X = X^{t} + \Lambda S^{\top} A B^{-1} + B^{-1} A^{\top} S \Lambda^{\top}$$
$$\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times \tau} \text{ is free}$$

New formulation even for standard QN methods
Randomized BFGS:
$$B = A, \tau = 1$$
$$X^{t+1} = \arg \min_{X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}} \|X - A^{-1}\|_{F(A)}^{2} = \|AX - I\|_{F}^{2}$$

 $+S\lambda^{\top}$

s.t. $X = X^t +$

 $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is free

RBFGS performs "best" symmetric rank-2 update

4. Random Update

 $H = S(S^{\top}AB^{-1}A^{\top}S)^{\dagger}S^{\top}$

$$X^{t+1} = X^{t} - (X^{t}A - I)HAB^{-1}$$

+ $B^{-1}AH(AX^{t} - I)(AHAB^{-1} - I)$

6. Random Fixed Point

$$X^{t+1} - A^{-1} = (I - B^{-1}A^{\top}HA)(X^t - A^{-1})(I - AHA^{\top}B^{-1})$$

Complexity / Convergence



Summary: Matrix Inversion

- Block version of QN updates
- New points of view (constrain and approximate, ...)
- New link between QN and approx. inverse preconditioning
- First time randomized QN updates are proposed
- First stochastic method for matrix inversion (with complexity bounds)?
- Linear convergence under weak assumptions
- Did not talk about:
 - Nonsymmetric variants
 - Theoretical bounds for discretely distributed S
 - Adaptive randomized BFGS
 - Limited memory and factored implementations
 - Experiments (Newton-Schultz; MinRes)
 - Use in empirical risk minimization (Gower, Goldfarb & R. '16)
 - Extension to the computation of a pseudoinverse

The End